



Should one company, spending \$35M, be able to buy a spot for itself in the state constitution? FACTS:

- PG&E is the sole sponsor of Prop 16 on the June 8th statewide ballot
- Prop 16 halts competition for PG&E from local, publicly-owned electricity providers
- These not-for-profit providers (such as Sacramento, Palo Alto, & now Marin Clean Energy & CleanPowerSF) currently serve 24% of California, at rates 20% below PG&E's on average
- With 30% rate hikes planned over the next 3 years, PG&E faces no competitive pricing pressure if Prop 16 passes; Stalled at just 1% solar, PG&E faces no competitive "greening" pressure either

They can't compete fair & green, that's why PG&E bought Prop 16

CASE STUDY:Marin Clean Energy is a not-for-profit public provider with double PG&E's renewable power, at or below PG&E rates. 100% renewable is offered for a few dollars more. Its projected climate reductions are 50x greater than all local programs combined. CleanPowerSF is on similar track to deliver. Both providers are under heavy attack by PG&E's phony grassroots front groups, *Commonsense Marin* and *CommonsenseSF*. Prop 16 is simply PG&E's statewide attempt to shut down all such clean power competition for good.

If you think competition for clean power/low rates is a good thing, you're going to LOVE working to stop this Prop 16.



Should one company, spending \$35M, be able to buy a spot for itself in the state constitution? FACTS:

- PG&E is the sole sponsor of Prop 16 on the June 8th statewide ballot
- Prop 16 halts competition for PG&E from local, publicly-owned electricity providers
- These not-for-profit providers (such as Sacramento, Palo Alto, & now Marin Clean Energy & CleanPowerSF) currently serve 24% of California, at rates 20% below PG&E's on average
- With 30% rate hikes planned over the next 3 years, PG&E faces no competitive pricing pressure if Prop 16 passes; Stalled at just 1% solar, PG&E faces no competitive "greening" pressure either

They can't compete fair & green, that's why PG&E bought Prop 16

CASE STUDY:Marin Clean Energy is a not-for-profit public provider with double PG&E's renewable power, at or below PG&E rates. 100% renewable is offered for a few dollars more. Its projected climate reductions are 50x greater than all local programs combined. CleanPowerSF is on similar track to deliver. Both providers are under heavy attack by PG&E's phony grassroots front groups, *Commonsense Marin* and *CommonsenseSF*. Prop 16 is simply PG&E's statewide attempt to shut down all such clean power competition for good.

If you think competition for clean power/low rates is a good thing, you're going to LOVE working to stop this Prop 16.



Should one company, spending \$35M, be able to buy a spot for itself in the state constitution? FACTS:

- PG&E is the sole sponsor of Prop 16 on the June 8th statewide ballot
- Prop 16 halts competition for PG&E from local, publicly-owned electricity providers
- These not-for-profit providers (such as Sacramento, Palo Alto, & now Marin Clean Energy & CleanPowerSF) currently serve 24% of California, at rates 20% below PG&E's on average
- With 30% rate hikes planned over the next 3 years, PG&E faces no competitive pricing pressure if Prop 16 passes; Stalled at just 1% solar, PG&E faces no competitive "greening" pressure either

They can't compete fair & green, that's why PG&E bought Prop 16

CASE STUDY:Marin Clean Energy is a not-for-profit public provider with double PG&E's renewable power, at or below PG&E rates. 100% renewable is offered for a few dollars more. Its projected climate reductions are 50x greater than all local programs combined. CleanPowerSF is on similar track to deliver. Both providers are under heavy attack by PG&E's phony grassroots front groups, *Commonsense Marin* and *CommonsenseSF*. Prop 16 is simply PG&E's statewide attempt to shut down all such clean power competition for good.

If you think competition for clean power/low rates is a good thing, you're going to LOVE working to stop this Prop 16.